

REC'D	24	JAN	2005
MIDO			DOT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference TS 6248 PCT			FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA				ional CT/IPEA/416)	
		lication No.	International filing date (day/mon	th/year)	Priority dat	te (day/month/	year)	
PCT/EP 03/50792 05.11.2003			05.11.2003		06.11.2002			
		ent Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classification and IPC		:			
E21B3	3/138		•					
	· :				•			
Applican	t							
SHELL	INTER	RNATIONALE RESEA	RCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V.					
1. Th	is inter ithority	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	nination report has been prepar applicant according to Article 3	red by this Inte 6.	rnational Pr	eliminary Ex	amining	
2. Th	is REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including this cover	sheet.				
⊠	pee	n amended and are the I	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets o pasis for this report and/or sheet 1607 of the Administrative Instr	ts containing r	ectificatione	nd/or drawin made before	gs which have this Authority	
Th					ne r.CT).			
11	iese an	nexes consist of a total c	of 1 sneets.					
	<del></del>							
3. Th	_		lating to the following items:					
	is repo	rt contains indications re Basis of the opinion Priority	ating to the following items:					
1	×	Basis of the opinion Priority	•	· oventive step a	nd industria	., ul annlicabilib		
i 11	<b>\( \)</b>	Basis of the opinion Priority	ppinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive step a	nd industria	 Il applicability	<b>y</b>	
1 11 111		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u	opinion with regard to novelty, ir on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard	•				
! !! !V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u	opinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement	•				
! !! !!! !V V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u citations and explanation Certain documents cite	opinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement	•				
i ii iv v v		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in	opinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement	•				
i ii iv v v		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in	opinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement ad nternational application	•				
I III IV V VII VII		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in	opinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement ad nternational application in the international application	•	ventive step			
I III IV V VI VII	Maria de la companya della companya	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of of Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incertain observations of	opinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement ad nternational application in the international application	d to novelty, in	ventive step			
IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIIIVIIIIVIIIIVIIIIVIIIIVIIII	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in Certain observations of	opinion with regard to novelty, in on one of the content of the co	d to novelty, in	ventive step			
IIIIIV V VI VIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Dubmission  dubmission  dubmission  to mailing y exami  Eur  NL-	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement u citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in Certain observations of	ppinion with regard to novelty, in on on one of the property o	completion of the	ventive step			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50792

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages		•			
	1-9		as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	1-1	1	as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/1		received on 11.11.2004 with	letter of 11.11.2004	•.		
2.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in	n the following language: ,	which is:		
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes o	of the international search (un	der Rule 23.1(b)).		
		the language of pub	lication of the international application	n (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes o 3).	of international preliminary exa	amination (under		
3.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	mational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in compute	er readable form.			
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.		• •		
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer read	able form.			
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer ished.	readable form is identical to t	he written sequence		
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:		,		
		the claims,	Nos.:	• • • •	1		
		the drawings,	sheets:		ı		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50792

6	۸ ما د	Iditional phoenictions if necessary			
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to undereport.)	r item	1 and annexed to	o this
5.	. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	made,	since they have	

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims	6,11 1-5.7-10
• •	NO.	Ciairis	1-5,7-10
Inventive step (IS)		Claims	
:	No:	Claims	1-11
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-11
	No:	Claims	,

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 291 949 (DOVAN HOAI T ET AL) 8 March 1994 (1994-03-08)

D2: US-A-4 147 211 (SANDIFORD BURTON B) 3 April 1979 (1979-04-03)

D3: US-A-4 903 767 (SHU PAUL ET AL) 27 February 1990 (1990-02-27)

D4: US-A-2 042 011 (LOOMIS ALBERT G) 26 May 1936 (1936-05-26)

D5: SCHLUMBERGER GLOSSARY, [Online] XP002273221

Retrieved from the Internet:

URL:http://www.glossary.oilfield.slb.com/Display.cfm?Term=alkaline%20floodinght tp:// www.glossary.oilfield.slb.com/Display.cfm? Term=alkaline%20flooding> [retrieved on 2004-03-11]

D6: SCHLUMBERGER GLOSSARY, [Online] XP002273222

Retrieved from the Internet:

URL:http://www.glossary.oilfield.slb.com/Display.cfm?Term=waterfloodhttp://www. glossary.oilfield.slb.com/Display.cfm?Term=water flood> [retrieved on 2004-03-11]

#### 1. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 1.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.2 The document D1, cited in the application, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for selectively reducing the permeability of one or more relatively permeable geological layers of an oil-bearing formation (col. 1, line 18-20), to inhibit breakthrough of driving fluid from a driving fluid injection well via at least one of said layers into an oil production well (col. 8, line 52-57), which method comprises the steps of:

- injecting a driving fluid (water) comprising a first compound (caustic component) into the formation via the injection well;
- detecting the first compound in well fluid of the oil production well (col 10, line 11,12);
- upon detection, injecting a second compound (solution, col 10, line 17-18) into the formation via the oil production well, to react with the first compound in order to provide a flow restriction generated by a third compound (gel) which comprises a reaction

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inter EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/EP 03/50792

product of the first and second compounds in at least one relatively permeable geological layer through which breakthrough of the driving fluid into the oil production well has occurred (col. 10, line 7-27).

1.3 It is noted that the caustic flood described in D1 has been interpreted according to D5 and D6, i.e. injection of an alkaline chemical during waterflooding. This should also be taken into account when interpreting the documents D2, D3 and D4.

#### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.2 The document D1, cited in the application, discloses in particular in column 10, line 7-27 (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A kit of compounds, comprising a first compound (caustic component) for injection into a subsurface formation via an injection well which first compound can pass through the formation concurrently with a driving fluid (water), and a second compound (solution, col 10, line 17-18) for injection into the formation via a production well, which second compound can react with the first compound so as to form a reaction product (gel) in the formation which imposes a flow restriction.

